arXiv:1411.5741v2 [math.NT] 18 Dec 2014

$B_h[g]$ modular sets from B_h modular sets

Nidia Y. Caicedo* Carlos A. Gómez*
Jhonny C. Gómez* Carlos A. Trujillo**
*Universidad del Valle, A.A. 25360, Colombia.
**Universidad del Cauca, A.A. 755, Colombia.
E-mail: nidia.caicedo@correounivalle.edu.co, carlos.a.gomez@correounivalle.edu.co,
jhonny.gomez@correounivalle.edu.co, trujillo@unicauca.edu.co

Abstract

A set of positive integers A is called a $B_h[g]$ set if there are at most g different sums of h elements from A with the same result. This definition has a generalization to abelian groups and the main problem related to this kind of sets, is to find $B_h[g]$ maximal sets i.e. those with larger cardinality.

We construct $B_h[g]$ modular sets from B_h modular sets using homomorphisms and analyze the constructions of B_h sets by Bose and Chowla, Ruzsa, and Gómez and Trujillo look at for the suitable homomorphism that allows us to preserve the cardinal of this types of sets.

Key words and phrases. Sidon sets, B_h sets, $B_h[g]$ sets, finite fields, homomorphism of groups.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B50, 12E20, 20K01, 20K30.

1 Introduction

Let G be an abelian group with additive notation, $A \subseteq G$ numerable, and $h, g \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, with $h \geq 2$. A is a $B_h[g]$ set on G, denoted by $A \in B_h[g](G)$, if each $b \in G$ has at most g distinct representations as

$$b = a_{i_1} + \cdots + a_{i_h}, \quad \text{with } a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_h} \in A \quad \text{y} \quad i_1 \leq \ldots \leq i_h.$$

When $g = 1$ we say that $A \in B_h(G)$ and, in particular, when $h = 2$ and $G = \mathbb{Z}$ is used to talk about B_2 sets of integers or Sidon Sets so named in honor of Simon Sidon, an Hungarian analyst who needed to find subsets of positive integers numbers with the property that all sums of two elements get a different result, except commutativity. Some others researches who worked with Sidon sets were P. Erdös, P. Turán, J. Singer, R. C. Bose, S. Chowla, I. Z. Ruzsa, B. Lindström, J. Cilleruelo, C. Trujillo, B. Green, C. Vinuesa and others.

The fundamental problem related to this sets is to find the bigger cardinal of a $B_h[g]$ contained in a group G. Pointing in this direction, we define the function

$$f_h(G, g) := \max\{|A| : A \in B_h[g](G)\},$$

which has not been determined entirely yet for each $h, g \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and G an additive abelian group. There are two different ways to approach the goal of this problem, using counting methods in order to obtain upper bounds and the other that provides lower bounds for $f_h(G, g)$ by the construction of "good" examples of $B_h[g]$ sets i.e. sets with a large cardinality.

In this paper we present a new way to construct a $B_h[g]$ set from a B_h set known, that allows us to provide some lower bounds for $f_h(G, g)$ by applying our main result to some classic constructions. When $G = \mathbb{Z}_N$ we talk of modular $B_h[g]$ sets and customary notation $f_h(N, g)$.

Martin and O'Bryant [1] shows the next lower bounds for $f_2(N, g)$ using a prime number p, a prime power q and a positive integer k:

(a) $f_2(p^2 - p, k^2) \geq k(p - 1)$,

(b) $f_2(q^2 - 1, k^2) \geq kq$,

(c) $f_2(q^2 + q + 1, k^2) \geq kq + 1$.

In order to establish the difference with our main result is to highlighting that this bounds are valid only for square perfect g and for $h = 2$, i.e. $B_2[g]$ sets in a modular group with $g = k^2$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Martin and O'Bryant established this bounds when they constructed generalized Sidon sets by joining suitable B_2 sets type Singer [2], type Bose [3] and type Ruzsa [4].

Our approach is different than Martin and O'Bryant because we use a particular result from Lemma 1, which allows us to conclude that for any positive integer g:

(a) For any prime number p, such that $p \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, g)$:

$$f_2\left(\frac{p^2 - p}{g}, g\right) \geq p - 1. \tag{1}$$

(b) For any prime number p and any integer $h \geq 3$, such that $p^h \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, g)$:

$$f_h\left(\frac{p^h - p}{g}, g\right) \geq p. \tag{2}$$

(c) For any prime power q and any integer $h \geq 2$, such that $q^d \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, g)$ for some positive integer d that divides h but $d \neq h$:

$$f_h\left(\frac{q^h - 1}{g}, g\right) \geq q. \tag{3}$$

Next, we show some cases where our results gives bigger lower bounds than those obtained by Martin and O'Bryant for $f_2(N, g)$, although the principal difference is that we give lower bounds for $f_h(N, g)$ with $h, g \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $h \geq 2$:

- For $p = 5$ and $k = 2$, as $p^2 - p = 20$, according to Martin and O'Bryant $f_2(20, 4) \geq 8$. But if we take $q = 9$ and $g = 4$, as $\frac{q^2-1}{g} = 20$ then we get $f_2(20, 4) \geq 9$.

- For $p = 7$ and $k = 2$, as $p^2 - p = 42$ then $f_2(42, 4) \geq 12$. But if $q = 13$ and $g = 4$, as $\frac{q^2-1}{g} = 42$, we get $f_2(42, 4) \geq 13$.

When $G = \mathbb{Z}$, it is common to denote the function

$$F_h(N, g) := \max\{|A| : \ A \subseteq [1, N], \ A \in B_h[g](\mathbb{Z})\}.$$

A lower bound of this function was prove by Lindström [5], who established that

$$F_h(N, g) \geq (1 + o(1)) \sqrt[h]{gN},$$

with $g = m^{h-1}$ for an integer $m \geq 2$, when $N \to \infty$.

Now, since every $B_h[g]$ modular set is a $B_h[g]$ integer set we get

$$F_h(N, g) \geq f_h(N, g),$$

and therefore the previous bounds on $f_h(N, g)$ also holds for $F_h(N, g)$. So, considering $N = \frac{p^h-1}{g}$, we have from (3) that

$$F_h(N, g) \geq \sqrt[h]{gN + 1}$$

for an infinite number of values of N, according to Dirichlet Theorem about prime numbers in arithmetic progressions.

2 The main result and the B_h sets constructions

The next Lemma is our main result and this allow us to establish what happen when we apply a group homomorphism to a B_h set. Using this we obtained a way to construct a $B_h[g]$ set from a B_h set already known.

Lemma 1. *Let G and G' be finite additive abelian groups and $\phi : G \to G'$ be a homomorphism such that $|Ker(\phi)| = g_1$. If $A \in B_h[g](G)$, then $\phi(A) \in B_h[gg_1](\phi(G))$.*

Proof. Let us proceed by reduction to absurd. We suppose that an element $\alpha \in \phi(G)$ has $gg_1 + 1$ different representations like an $h-$sum of elements from $\phi(A)$; by means,

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{h} \phi(a_{i,k}), \quad \text{for} \ \ k = 1, \ldots, gg_1 + 1,$$

where each $a_{i,k} \in A$, for $i = 1, \ldots, h$, for $k \neq k'$. Also, that

$$\{\{a_{i,k} : 1 \leq i \leq h\}\} \neq \{\{a_{i,k'} : 1 \leq i \leq h\}\}^1.$$

Now, if we denote

$$\alpha_k := \sum_{i=1}^{h} \phi(a_{i,k}),$$

then $\alpha_k = \alpha$ for every $k = 1, \ldots, gg_1 + 1$. Let us fix α_1, thus

$$\alpha_1 - \alpha_j = 0 \ \ \text{for} \ \ j = 2, \ldots, gg_1 + 1,$$

[1]The notation $\{\{\}\}$ means multiset.

but, on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1 - \alpha_j &= \sum_{i=1}^{h} \phi(a_{i,1}) - \sum_{i=1}^{h} \phi(a_{i,j}) \\ &= \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{h}(a_{i,1} - a_{i,k})\right).\end{aligned}$$

We put b_k to denote

$$\sum_{i=1}^{h}(a_{i,1} - a_{i,k}), \text{ for } k = 2, \ldots, gg_1 + 1,$$

we have $\phi(b_k) = 0$ or, what is equivalent, $b_k \in Ker(\phi)$ for every $k = 2, \ldots, gg_1 + 1$, and since $|Ker(\phi)| = g_1$, by the pigeonhole principle, there are $b_{k_j} = 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, g$ or $b_{k_j} = t \neq 0$, for $j = 1, \ldots, g + 1$; each case implies there is an element in G that has $g+1$ different representations as $h-$sums of elements in A, contradicting that $A \in B_h[g](G)$. □

Corollary 1. *Let G and G' finite additive abelian groups and $\phi : G \to G'$ be a injective homomorphism. If $A \in B_h[g](G)$, then $\phi(A) \in B_h[g](G')$.*

In order to obtain lower bounds for $f_h(G, g)$ we establish conditions that allows us to to preserve the cardinal of a set when we apply a homomorphism, more precisely we have the next lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let $\phi : G \to G'$ be a finite additive abelian groups homomorphism. Then*

$$|\phi(A)| = |A| \Longleftrightarrow (A - A) \cap Ker(\phi) = \{0\}.$$

The next construction, due to Derksen [6], use a quotient ring of polynomials to construct B_h sets, that allows us via Corollary 1 a different presentation of some classic constructions, of modular B_h sets.

From now on, given any ring R, we denote the group of units of R by R^*.

Lemma 3. *Let F be a field and $p(x) \in F[x]$ with $deg(p(x)) = h$. If $p(s) \neq 0$ for every $s \in S$, then*

$$x - S = \{x - s : \ s \in S\},$$

is a B_h set at $\left(F[x]/\langle p(x)\rangle\right)^*$ with $|S|$ elements.

Proof. For $s \in S$, we have $(x - s) \in \left(F[x]/\langle p(x)\rangle\right)^*$ if and only if $gcd(x - s, p(x)) = 1$, but this is equivalent to have $p(s) \neq 0$.

Assume that there is an element belonging $\left(F[x]/\langle p(x)\rangle\right)^*$ which has two different representations as the product to h elements from $x - S$; in other words,

$$(x - s_1) \cdots (x - s_h) \equiv (x - s'_1) \cdots (x - s'_h) (\mathrm{mod}\, p(x)),$$

where $s_i, s_i' \in S$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, h$. If we use $f(x)$ to denote $\prod_{i=1}^{h}(x - s_i) - \prod_{i=1}^{h}(x - s_i')$, then $p(x)|f(x)$. But $deg(f(x)) = h - 1$, so

$$\prod_{i=1}^{h}(x - s_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{h}(x - s_i').$$

However, $F[x]$ is a unique factorization domain, so

$$\{s_i : 1 \leq i \leq h\} = \{s_i' : 1 \leq i \leq 2\}.$$

□

Remark 1. *As a particular case, if θ is an algebraic element of degree h over the field F, then the set*

$$\theta + F := \{\theta + a : a \in F\}$$

is a B_h set in the multiplicative group $(F(\theta))^*$. Even more, when $F = \mathbb{F}_q$, we can conclude that $BC(q, \theta) := \theta + \mathbb{F}_q \in B_h(\mathbb{F}_{q^h}^*)$ with q elements. More generally, if we consider an algebraic element β with degree d over $\mathbb{F}_q$, then $BC(q, \beta) \in B_d(\mathbb{F}_{q^h}^*)$ provided $d|h$.

Corollary 2. *Let q be a prime power, $h \geq 2$ an integer and θ a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{q^h}$. The set*

$$B(q, h, \theta) := \log_\theta(\theta + \mathbb{F}_q)(\text{mod } q^h - 1)$$

is a B_h set in $\mathbb{Z}_{q^h-1}$ with q elements.

This construction can be seen as a consequence from the previous results. Just by taking into consideration the isomorphism $\log_\theta : \mathbb{F}_{q^h}^* \to \mathbb{Z}_{q^h-1}$ (called the *discrete logarithm* to the base θ), defined by $\log_\theta(x) = a$ if and only if $\theta^a = x$, and $\log_\theta(A) := \{\log_\theta(a) : a \in A\}$. Now, using Corollary 1 we have that $B(q, h, \theta) \in B_h\left(\mathbb{Z}_{q^h-1}\right)$.

The classic construction by Bose and Chowla [7], gives the set

$$B\&C(q, h, \theta) := \{a \in [1, q^h - 1] : \theta^a - \theta \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$$

that is equal to $B(q, h, \theta)$. In fact, $a \in B\&C(q, h, \theta)$ if and only if $\theta^a - \theta \in \mathbb{F}_q$, which is equivalent to have $\theta^a = \theta + x$ for some $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$, if and only if $a = \log_\theta(\theta + x)$ which means that $a \in B(q, h, \theta)$.

Now, we want to show the way to build a B_d multiplicative set in $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{F}_{q^{h+1}}^*/\mathbb{F}_q^*$ with $q + 1$ elements, known as the generalization of Singer's construction and for this, in the next theorem we consider for $d \geq 2$ the set

$$BC(q, \beta) \in B_{d+1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{h+1}}^*\right),$$

with q elements, where β is an algebraic element in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{h+1}}$ of degree $d + 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Also, we consider the homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \phi: & \mathbb{F}_{q^{h+1}}^* & \to & \mathcal{H} \\ & x & \mapsto & \overline{x} := x + \mathbb{F}_q^* \end{array}.$$

Theorem 1. *For each element $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{h+1}}$, of degree $d+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ the set*

$$SG(q,\beta) := \{\overline{1}\} \cup \phi(BC(q,\beta))$$

is a $B_d(\mathcal{H})$ set with $q+1$ elements.

Proof. Let $\overline{\beta+i} = \overline{\beta+j}$, as $i,j \in \mathbb{F}_q$ this implies $i = j$ therefore $|\phi(BC(q,\beta))| = q$. Besides, as β has degree $d+1 > 1$, then

$$\overline{1} \notin \phi(BC(q,\beta)),$$

so $|SG(q,\beta)| = q+1$.

Now, we are going to show that $\phi(BC(q,\beta)) \in B_d(\mathcal{H})$. Let us assume there are $\{\{a_i : 1 \le i \le d\}\}$ and $\{\{b_j : 1 \le j \le d\}\}$ such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d} \overline{(\beta+a_i)} \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{d} \overline{(\beta+b_j)} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{H}, \tag{4}$$

then, there is $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d} (\beta+a_i) = t \prod_{i=1}^{d} (\beta+b_i) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{F}_{q^{h+1}}^*,$$

since the degree of β is $d+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, (4) is possible provided $t = 1$, and $\{\{a_i : 1 \le i \le d\}\} = \{\{b_j : 1 \le j \le d\}\}$. So

$$\{\{\overline{\beta+a_i} : 1 \le i \le d\}\} = \{\{\overline{\beta+b_j} : 1 \le j \le d\}\}.$$

It remains to prove the case in which some terms in (4) are $\overline{1}$. In order to do this, let us assume there is a element of $\mathcal{H}$ with two different representations as the product of d elements of $SG(q,\beta)$. Without loss of generality, let us assume that in one of this representations there is k times the $\overline{1}$; i.e.,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d} \overline{(\beta+a_i)} \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{d-k} \overline{(\beta+b_j)} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{H},$$

where $\{\{a_i : 1 \le i \le d\}\}$ and $\{\{b_j : 1 \le j \le d\}\}$ are contained in $\mathbb{F}_q$. But no matter the value of k, this leaves to a contradiction with the degree of β, therefore $SG(q,\beta) \in B_d(\mathcal{H})$. □

Remark 2. *Note that $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}_N$ with $N = \frac{q^{h+1}-1}{q-1}$. Then by Corollary 1, if β is an element of degree $h+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, we obtained the generalized Singer's construction.*

Finally, we are going to use the classic constructions of B_h sets type Ruzsa, Bose-Chowla, Gómez-Trujillo to built $B_h[g]$ sets, via Corollary 1.

3 Some consequences of our main result.

The next theorem is a consequence of the main result in this paper, where we consider a B_2 set constructed by Ruzsa called a B_2 type Ruzsa set.

Theorem 2. *For all $g \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there is a $B_2[g]$ set in $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{p^2-p}{g}}$ with $p-1$ elements, where p is a prime number such that $p \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, g)$.*

Proof. Let us take θ a primitive element of $\mathbb{Z}_p$, and the B_2 set

$$R(p,\theta) := \{(a,\ \theta^a) : a \in \{1, 2 \ldots, p-1\}\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$$

with $p-1$ elements. Let us consider $H_g := \langle \frac{p-1}{g} \rangle$ as a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and the homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \phi: & \mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_p & \to & \left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}/H_g\right) \times \mathbb{Z}_p \\ & (x,y) & \mapsto & (x+H_g, y) \end{array}$$

then $|Ker(\phi)| = g$ and, by Lemma 1,

$$\phi(R(p,\theta)) \in B_2[g]\left(\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}/H_g\right) \times \mathbb{Z}_p\right).$$

But the canonical isomorphism establish that

$$\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}/H_g\right) \times \mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{p-1}{g}} \times \mathbb{Z}_p,$$

and, as $gcd\left(\frac{p-1}{g}, p\right) = 1$, by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{p-1}{g}} \times \mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{p^2-p}{g}}$.

Using Lemma 2, we are going to show that $|\phi(R(p,\theta))| = p-1$. Let us denote

$$\alpha_i := (a_i, \theta^{a_i}) \in R(p,\theta), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

with $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 \in Ker(\phi)$, then $\phi(\alpha_2) = \phi(\alpha_1)$, i.e.,

$$(a_1 + H_g,\ \theta^{a_1}) = (a_2 + H_g,\ \theta^{a_2}).$$

So, $a_1 - a_2 \in H_g$ implying that $\frac{p-1}{g} | (a_1 - a_2)$; besides, $\theta^{a_1} \equiv \theta^{a_2} (\mathrm{mod}\, p)$, then $p | a_1 - a_2$ and $a_1 - a_2 \leq p-1$, which implies $a_1 = a_2$ and therefore

$$(R(p,\theta) - R(p,\theta)) \cap Ker(\phi) = \{0\}.$$

$Ruzsa(p,\theta) \in B_2\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2-p}\right)$ is the image of $R(p,\theta)$ via the Chinese Remainder Theorem, which is the classic construction of Ruzsa, [4].

□

Let φ be an homomorphism between $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2-p}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{p^2-p}{g}}$ such that $|ker(\varphi)| = g$, then by Lemma 1

$$Ruzsa(p,\theta,g) = \varphi(Ruzsa(p,\theta)) \in B_2[g]\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{p^2-p}{g}}\right).$$

Corollary 3. For all $g \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, if p is a prime number such that $p \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, g)$, then

$$f_2\left(\frac{p^2-p}{g}, g\right) \geq p-1.$$

Now, we use the construction of a B_h set type Gómez and Trujillo set to apply our main result.

Theorem 3. For all $g \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ there is a $B_h[g]$ set at $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{p^h-p}{g}}$ with p elements, where p is a prime number and $h \geq 3$ is an integer such that $p^h \equiv 1(\mathrm{\ mod\ } g)$.

Proof. At [8] shows that, for θ a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{h-1}}$,

$$GT(p,h,\theta) = \{(a, \log_\theta(\theta + a) : a \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$$

is a $B_h(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{h-1}-1})$ set with p elements. Consider $H_g := \langle \frac{p^{h-1}-1}{g} \rangle$ subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{h-1}-1}$ and the homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \phi: & \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{h-1}-1} & \to & \mathbb{Z}_p \times \left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{h-1}-1}/H_g\right) \\ & (x,y) & \mapsto & (x, y+H_g) \end{array}$$

then $|Ker(\phi)| = g$ and by Lemma 1, we have $\phi(GT(p,h,\theta)) \in B_h[g]$ at $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{h-1}-1}/H_g\right)$, but, using the canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \times \left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{h-1}-1}/H_g\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{p^{h-1}-1}{g}},$$

and, the Chinese Remainder Theorem states that $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{p^{h-1}-1}{g}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{p^h-p}{g}}$.

Now, using Lemma 2, let

$$\alpha_i := (a_i, \log_\theta(\theta + a_i)) \in GT(p,h,\theta), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

if $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 \in Ker(\phi)$, then $\phi(\alpha_2) = \phi(\alpha_1)$, i.e.,

$$(a_1,\ \log_\theta(\theta + a_1) + H_g) = (a_2,\ \log_\theta(\theta + a_2) + H_g),$$

but this implies $a_1 = a_2$, therefore $|\phi(GT(p,h,\theta))| = p$. □

Now, $G\&T(p,h,\theta) \in B_h\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^h-p}\right)$ is the image of $GT(p,h,\theta)$ via the Chinese Remainder Theorem. If φ is the appropriate homomorphism between $\mathbb{Z}_{p^h-p}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{p^h-p}{g}}$ with $|ker(\varphi)| = g$ then by Lemma 1 we conclude that

$$G\&T(p,h,\theta,g) = \varphi(G\&T(p,h,\theta)) \in B_h[g]\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{p^h-p}{g}}\right).$$

Corollary 4. For all $g \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, if p is a prime number and $h \geq 3$ is an integer such that $p^h \equiv 1(\mathrm{mod}\, g)$, then

$$f_h\left(\frac{p^h-p}{g}, g\right) \geq p.$$

At last but not least important, we use a B_h set type Bose and Chowla to obtain a $B_h[g]$ set in $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{g^h-1}{g}}$.

Theorem 4. For all $g \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there is a $B_h[g]$ set in $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^h-1}{g}}$ with q elements, where q is a prime power and $h \geq 2$ is an integer, such that $q^k \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, g)$ for some k that divides h, but $k \neq h$.

Proof. Consider the set $\theta + \mathbb{F}_q$, where θ is such that $\langle \theta \rangle = \mathbb{F}^*_{q^h}$. If we denote by H_g the subgroup of $\mathbb{F}^*_{q^k} = \langle \theta^{\frac{q^h-1}{q^k-1}} \rangle$ generated by $\theta^{\frac{q^h-1}{g}}$, then the natural homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \phi: & \mathbb{F}^*_{q^h} & \to & \mathbb{F}^*_{q^h}/H_g \\ & x & \mapsto & x + H_g \end{array}$$

have $Ker(\phi) = H_g$ and $|Ker(\phi)| = g$. From Lemma 1, we have that $\phi(\theta + \mathbb{F}_q) \in B_h[g] \left(\mathbb{F}^*_{q^h}/H_g \right)$.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$, if $\phi(\theta + a) = \phi(\theta + b)$, then

$$\theta + a \equiv \theta + b (\mathrm{mod}\, H_g),$$

this implies $\theta + a \equiv \theta + b \,(\mathrm{mod}\, \mathbb{F}^*_{q^k})$, therefore $\theta + a = \alpha(\theta + b)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^*_{q^k}$. Now, if $\alpha = 1$ then $a = b$, on contrary

$$\theta = \frac{b\alpha - a}{\alpha - 1} \in \mathbb{F}^*_{q^k},$$

that contradicts $grad_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\theta) = h > k$, therefore $|\phi(\theta + \mathbb{F}_q)| = q$

Finally, using the isomorphism known as the discrete logarithm to the base θ,

$$\mathbb{F}^*_{q^h}/H_g \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^h-1}{g}},$$

and Corollary 1 guarantees the existence of a $B_h[g]$ set in $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^h-1}{g}}$ with q elements. □

Corollary 5. For all $g \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, if q is a prime power and $h \geq 2$ is an integer, such that $q^d \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, g)$, for some d that divides h but $d \neq h$,

$$f_h\left(\frac{q^h - 1}{g}, g\right) \geq q.$$

4 Some numeric examples

Using the classic constructions, we present some examples by using our main result highlighting the advantages and disadvantages of using appropriate homomorphisms to built $B_h[g]$ sets.

Example 1. *Let $g = 2$, for $q = 7$ and $h = 3$. Since $7 \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$, we have that*

$$B\&C(7, 3, \theta, 2) = \{1,\ 68,\ 96,\ 108,\ 123,\ 128,\ 149\} \in B_3[2]\left(\mathbb{Z}_{171}\right),$$

because of the main result applied to the set

$$B\&C(7, 3, \theta) = \{1,\ 108,\ 123,\ 128,\ 149,\ 239,\ 267\} \in B_3\left(\mathbb{Z}_{342}\right),$$

where $\theta = -2x^2 + 2x - 1$ *is a primitive element of* $\mathbb{F}_{7^3}$*. Check that*

$$108 + 108 + 108 \equiv 68 + 128 + 128 (\mathrm{mod}\, 171)$$

and

$$1 + 1 + 1 \equiv 68 + 128 + 149 (\mathrm{mod}\, 171).$$

Now, for $g = 3$ *as* $7 \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 3)$*, using the previous* $B\&C(7, 3, \theta)$*,*

$$B\&C(7, 3, \theta, 3) = \{1,\ 9,\ 11,\ 14,\ 35,\ 39,\ 108\} \in B_3[3]\left(\mathbb{Z}_{114}\right),$$

but there is not elements from $\mathbb{Z}_{114}$ *with three different representations as a* $3-$*sum of elements from* $B\&C(7, 3, \theta, 3)$*, at most there are some cases like*

$$39 + 108 + 108 \equiv 9 + 9 + 9 (\mathrm{mod}\, 114)$$

and

$$11 + 14 + 39 \equiv 35 + 35 + 108 (\mathrm{mod}\, 114),$$

that is why, in fact $B\&C(7, 3, \theta, 3) \in B_3[2]\left(\mathbb{Z}_{114}\right)$*.*

Similarly, for $g = 6$ *as* $7 \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 6)$ *and* $B\&C(7, 3, \theta) \in B_3\left(\mathbb{Z}_{342}\right)$ *we have*

$$B\&C(7, 3, \theta, 6) = \{1,\ 9,\ 11,\ 14,\ 35,\ 39,\ 51\} \in B_3[6]\left(\mathbb{Z}_{57}\right),$$

also in this case, there is not elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{57}$ *with six different representations as* $3-$*sum of elements from* $B\&C(7, 3, \theta, 6)$*, but for direct verification is easy to verify that it is a* $B_3[3]\left(\mathbb{Z}_{57}\right)$ *set,*

$$1 + 1 + 1 \equiv 11 + 14 + 35 \equiv 39 + 39 + 39 (\mathrm{mod}\, 57),$$

$$9 + 9 + 9 \equiv +39 + 51 + 51 \equiv 14 + 35 + 35 (\mathrm{mod}\, 57).$$

Sinca a $B_h[g]$ is also a $B_h[g']$ for any $g' > g$, the previous example using the Bose and Chowla construction shows that our main result, in some cases, allow us to construct a $B_h[g']$ for $g' < g$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^h-1}{g}}$.

Example 2. *Now, using* $g = 3$*,* $q = 7$ *and* $h = 3$*, the set*

$$B\&C(7, 3, \alpha, 3) = \{1,\ 7,\ 24,\ 36,\ 38,\ 49,\ 54\} \in B_3[3]\left(\mathbb{Z}_{114}\right)$$

obtained by apply the main result to the set

$$B\&C(7, 3, \alpha) = \{1,\ 121,\ 152,\ 168,\ 252,\ 264,\ 277\} \in B_3\left(\mathbb{Z}_{342}\right),$$

where $\alpha = x^2 - 3x + 3$ *is a primitive element of* $\mathbb{F}_{7^3}$ *different from the one used in the previous example. Is easy to check that*

$$1 + 1 + 36 \equiv 54 + 49 + 49 \equiv 7 + 7 + 24 (\mathrm{mod}\, 114).$$

This previous example shows a case where the set is in fact a $B_h[g]$ with $g = |Ker(\varphi)|$, where φ is the appropriate homomorphism to the $B\&C(7, 3, \theta)$ set.

Example 3. *Let $g = 3$, since $7^k \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 3)$ for $k = 2$, we use $q = 7$ and $h = 4$, then*

$$B\&C(7, 4, \beta, 3) = \{1,\ 275,\ 429,\ 449,\ 621,\ 644,\ 756\} \in B_4[3]\left(\mathbb{Z}_{800}\right),$$

applying our main result to the set

$$B\&C(7, 4, \beta) = \{1,\ 429,\ 621,\ 644,\ 1249,\ 1556,\ 1875\} \in B_4\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2400}\right)$$

where $\beta = -2x^2 + x + 2$ is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{7^4}$. But in this case, the set is in fact a $B_4[2]$.

Let $g = 24$, since $7^k \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 24)$ for $k = 2$, use $q = 7$ and $h = 4$, then

$$B\&C(7, 4, \beta, 24) = \{1,\ 21,\ 29,\ 49,\ 56,\ 44,\ 75\} \in B_4[24]\left(\mathbb{Z}_{100}\right),$$

using the previous set $B\&C(7, 4, \beta)$. The expected is a $B_4[g']$ set with $g' < 24$, and, as direct verification shows it is in fact a $B_4[7]$.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 21 + 29 + 49 &\equiv 44 + 44 + 56 + 56 \equiv 1 + 1 + 49 + 49 \\ &\equiv 21 + 21 + 29 + 29 \equiv 75 + 75 + 75 + 75 \\ &\equiv 1 + 49 + 75 + 75 \equiv 21 + 29 + 75 + 75 (\mathrm{mod}\, 100) \end{aligned}$$

On the next examples use another classic construction, this time a B_2 type of Ruzsa set.

Example 4. *Let $g = 2$, then for $p = 11$ there is*

$$Ruzsa(11, \theta, 2) = \{3,\ 7,\ 8,\ 10,\ 31,\ 37,\ 39,\ 45,\ 46,\ 49\} \in B_2[2]\left(\mathbb{Z}_{55}\right),$$

because of the main result applied to the set

$$Ruzsa(11, \theta) = \{7,\ 39,\ 58,\ 63,\ 65,\ 86,\ 92,\ 100,\ 101,\ 104\} \in B_2(\mathbb{Z}_{110})$$

where $\theta = 2$ is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{11}$. In this case $40 \in \mathbb{Z}_{55}$ has two different representations as the sum of two elements from the set $Ruzsa(11, \theta, 2)$,

$$3 + 37 \equiv 46 + 49 (\mathrm{mod}\, 55).$$

Let $g = 5$, then for $p = 11$ and $\theta = 2$

$$Ruzsa(11, \theta, 5) = \{4,\ 7,\ 12,\ 13,\ 14,\ 16,\ 17,\ 19,\ 20,\ 21\} \in B_2[5]\left(\mathbb{Z}_{22}\right),$$

Here we could check directly that

$$13 + 20 \equiv 4 + 7 \equiv 19 + 14 \equiv 16 + 17 \equiv 21 + 12 (\mathrm{mod}\, 22).$$

Now we are going to use a B_5 type of Gómez and Trujillo set to obtained $B_5[g]$ sets for at least two different values of g.

Example 5. *Let $g = 2$, then for $p = 5$ and $\theta = x^3 + x - 1$ primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{5^4}$, there is*

$$G\&T(5, 5, \theta, 2) = \{127,\ 226,\ 258,\ 625,\ 1384\} \in B_5[2]\left(\mathbb{Z}_{1560}\right),$$

because of the main result applied to the set

$$G\&T(5,5,\theta) = \{226,\ 625,\ 1384,\ 1687,\ 1818\} \in B_5(\mathbb{Z}_{3120})$$

Let $g = 8$, then for $p = 5$ applying the main result to the previous B_5, obtained

$$G\&T(5,5,\theta,8) = \{127,\ 214,\ 226,\ 235,\ 258\} \in B_5[8]\left(\mathbb{Z}_{390}\right),$$

that is in fact a $B_5[2]$ by direct verification.

The main advantage over Martin and O'Bryant way to constructed the Generalized Sidon sets is that we could construct $B_h[g]$ sets for any integer $h \geq 2$ and any integer $g \geq 2$, just using the correct construction and the appropriate prime p or prime power q as we showed on the previous examples.

5 Open problems

1. On this paper we used B_h sets coming from some classic constructions to built $B_h[g]$ sets, it is clear we do not only present a result that allows to do this but also we study the appropriate homomorphisms for those constructions. Another classic construction that we could take into consideration is the one created for Singer of a B_2 set mainly its generalization to built B_h sets [7].
   Is still an open problem to study the suitable homomorphism to use with the B_h set type Singer. This problem required the study of the factorization of the h^{th} cyclotomic polynomial $\frac{q^{h+1}-1}{q-1}$ with q a prime power.

2. The examples on this paper showed some cases where the homomorphism $\varphi : G \to G'$ send a B_h set into a $B_h[g']$ with $g' < |ker(\varphi)|$, this generally happens for large $|Ker(\varphi)|$. The problem is to identify the structure of the B_h set in order to obtain a $B_h[g]$ set using our main result with the maximum and minimum possible value of g that we can get.

3. The study of functions not homomorphisms that preserve the property of been a B_h set, the interest on this problem is to identify the functions that transform a B_h set into a $B_h[g]$ set, at least when the ambient group is $\mathbb{Z}$.

4. For the constructions of B_h sets that we did not consider at this paper, to study the appropriate homomorphism that preserve the cardinality of the set.

Acknowledgement. We thank the Universidad del Valle for the financial support provided to complete the postgraduate studies from the authors Y. Caicedo and J. Gómez. Also, the authors thank to "Patrimonio Autónomo Fondo Nacional de Financiamiento para la Ciencia, la Tecnología y la Innovación - Francisco José de Caladas", Universidad del Cauca and COLCIENCIAS for financial support under research project 110356935047 titled "Construcciones de Conjuntos $B_h[g]$, propiedades de Midy, y algunas aplicaciones".

References

[3] R. C. Bose, An affine analogue of Singer's theorem, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.) **6** (1942), 1-15.

[7] R.C. Bose and S. Chowla, Theorems in the additive theory of numbers, Comment. Math. Helv., 37(1962/1963), pp. 141-147.

[6] H. Derksen, hError-Correcting codes and B_h sequences, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 26 (March 2004) No. 3, 476-485.

[8] A. Gómez and C. Trujillo, Construcción de conjuntos B_{h+1} desde conjuntos B_h. Matemáticas: Enseñanza Universitaria. Vol. XX, No. 1, Junio (2007). Matemáticas: 1-9.

[9] J. Gómez, Construcción de conjuntos $B_h[g]$, Tesis de Maestría en Ciencias Matemáticas, Universidad del Valle, 2011.

[5] B. Lindström, $B_h[g]$-sequences from B_h sequences, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (1999), 657 - 659.

[1] G. Martin and K. O'Bryant, Constructions of generalized Sidon sets, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 113 (2006) 591-607.

[4] I. Z. Ruzsa, Solving a linear equation in a set of integers I, Acta Arith 65 (1993) 259-282. MR 1254961.

[2] J. Singer, A theorem infinite projective geometry and some applications to number theory, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **43** (1938), 377-385.